



POWERED BY COR2ED

MEETING SUMMARY
ASCO 2018 and WCGIC 2018

Prof. Armin Gerger
Medical University Graz
Austria

**CANCERS OF THE LIVER, SMALL INTESTINE
AND PANCREAS TRACT**

DISCLAIMER



Please note:

The views expressed within this presentation are the personal opinions of the author. They do not necessarily represent the views of the author's academic institution or the rest of the GI CONNECT group

**Unicancer GI PRODIGE 24/CCTG PA.6 TRIAL:
A MULTICENTER INTERNATIONAL RANDOMIZED
PHASE III TRIAL OF ADJUVANT mFOLFIRINOX
VERSUS GEMCITABINE IN
PATIENTS WITH RESECTED PANCREATIC
DUCTAL ADENOCARCINOMAS**

Conroy et al. ASCO 2018, LBA4001

BACKGROUND

- 6 months of adjuvant gemcitabine (plus capecitabine) is standard of care for metastatic PC
- >70% of patients relapse within 2 years despite adjuvant treatment
- FOLFIRINOX is more effective than gemcitabine as first-line treatment for metastatic PC in patients with good performance status

STUDY DESIGN

- Phase III multicentre, randomised clinical trial
- Pts aged 18-79 years with histologically proven pancreatic ductal adenocarcinomas, 21-84 days after R0 or R1 resection, WHO PS ≤ 1 , adequate hematologic and renal function and no cardiac ischemia
- Randomisation stratified by centre, pN, R margin status, and post-operative CA 19-9 level (≤ 90 U/mL vs 91-180)
 - Arm A pts received 28-day cycles of gem on days 1, 8, and 15 for 6 cycles
 - Arm B pts received mFOLFIRINOX (oxaliplatin 85 mg/m², leucovorin 400 mg/m², irinotecan 150 mg/m² D1, and 5-FU 2.4 g/m² over 46 h) every 14 days for 12 cycles
- Primary endpoint DFS
- Secondary endpoints OS, MFS and adverse events

KEY RESULTS

- **Median DFS: 21.6 months (mFOLFIRINOX)** vs 12.8 months (gemcitabine)
 - HR 0.59 (95% CI, 0.47-0.74), $p > 0.0001$
- **Median OS: 54.4 months (mFOLFIRINOX)** vs 34.8 months (gemcitabine)
 - HR 0.66 (95% CI, 0.49-0.89)
- Grade 3-4 AEs (GEM vs mFOLFIRINOX) were reported in 51.1% vs 75.5% of the patients.

CLINICAL TRANSLATION

- mFOLFIRINOX should be considered a new standard of care after pancreatic cancer resection in patients with good performance status

KEY MESSAGES

- Adjuvant mFOLFIRINOX significantly improves DFS, MFS and OS compared to gemcitabine
- mFOLFIRINOX is a generally safe regimen, however it is more toxic than gemcitabine regimen
- mFOLFIRINOX should be considered a new standard of care after pancreatic cancer resection in patients with good performance status
- In this study the patient population was highly selected (CA19-9 < 180 U/mL)

**REACH-2: A RANDOMIZED, DOUBLE-BLIND,
PLACEBO-CONTROLLED PHASE 3 STUDY OF
RAMUCIRUMAB VERSUS PLACEBO AS 2ND-LINE
TREATMENT IN PATIENTS WITH ADVANCED HCC
AND ELEVATED BASELINE AFP FOLLOWING
1ST-LINE SORAFENIB**

Zhu et al. ASCO 2018, Abst #4003

BACKGROUND

- Sorafenib (1L) and regorafenib (2L) are the only globally approved drugs for treatment of advanced HCC. Nivolumab is also available for advanced HCC but in the US only
- In the REACH study, ramucirumab (2L) was not associated with a significant OS benefit in patients with advanced HCC
 - the survival benefit of ramucirumab was confirmed patients with AFP ≥ 400 ng/ml (HR 0.67, $p=0.006$) and was well tolerated
- Patients with advanced HCC and elevated AFP have a poorer prognosis
- The REACH-2 study aimed to confirm the survival benefit of ramucirumab treatment (2L) observed in the REACH study, for advanced HCC patients with high baseline AFP (≥ 400 ng/ml)

STUDY DESIGN

- Pts ≥ 18 yrs with HCC with BCLC stage C or B disease refractory or not amenable to locoregional therapy
 - Baseline AFP ≥ 400 ng/mL
 - Child-Pugh A
 - ECOG PS 0 or 1
 - Adequate hematologic and biochemical parameters
 - Progressed on or following, or intolerant to sorafenib
- Pts randomized (2:1) to receive RAM 8 mg/kg iv or placebo Q2W plus best supportive care, until disease progression or unacceptable toxicity
- Primary endpoint OS
- Secondary objectives included PFS, ORR per RECIST v1.1 and safety

KEY RESULTS

- Median OS: 8.5 months (ramucirumab) vs 7.3 months (PBO + BSC); HR 0.71, p=0.0199
- Significant survival benefit of ramucirumab (2L) in patients with HCC and AFP \geq 400 ng/mL following progression / intolerance to sorafenib
- Grade \geq 3 AES in >5% of patients in the ramucirumab arm were hypertension (12.2% ramucirumab, 5.3% PBO) and hyponatremia (5.6%, 0%)

- Potential role of ramucirumab should be considered in context of future overall landscape
 - (lenvatinib [1L] and cabozantinib [2L] have demonstrated benefits in phase III trials)
- Based on results of single-arm phase 2 study, Nivolumab has been approved by the FDA for 2nd-line treatment of HCC

KEY MESSAGES

- Significant survival benefit of ramucirumab treatment (2L) in patients with HCC and AFP \geq 400 ng/mL who progressed on or were intolerant to sorafenib
- Ramucirumab was well tolerated. REACH-2 confirms the efficacy and safety observed in the pre-specified group of patients from REACH with an AFP \geq 400 ng/ml
- First positive study in a biomarker-selected patient population



GI CONNECT
Bodenackerstrasse 17
4103 Bottmingen
SWITZERLAND

Dr. Antoine Lacombe
Pharm D, MBA
Phone: +41 79 529 42 79
antoine.lacombe@cor2ed.com

Dr. Froukje Sosef
MD
Phone: +31 6 2324 3636
froukje.sosef@cor2ed.com

